

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,562	11/06/2001	Kunio Doi	205755US20PCT	1158
22850 75	590 12/06/2004		EXAMINER	
	VAK, MCCLELLAND,	CHOOBIN, BARRY		
	940 DUKE STREET LEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2625	
			DATE MAIL ED. 12/0//200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	09/830,562	DOI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Barry Choobin	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,59 and 60 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-58 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina  10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 November 2001</u> is/a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/07/01,2/11/02</u>.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☑ Other: <u>IDS 10/30/02</u>	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2625

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

- 1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on August 7, 2001 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.
- 2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on February 11, 2002 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.
- 3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on October 30, 2002 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.
- 4. The Examiner hereby considers the application 60/107,095 with the filing date of November 5, 1998 as applicant's priority date for instant application.

### Claim Objections

5. Claim 58 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 58 recites the method of claim 58. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2625

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1-2 and 59-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kando et al (US 5,359,513) in view of Yamada et al (US 5,235,510).

As to claim 1, Kando et al disclose a method and system for detection of interval change in temporally sequential chest images comprising; the steps of obtaining a digital first and second image of chest (column 5, lines 60-65 and fig.1c, step 1Q);

Performing image warping on one of the first and second image to produce a warped image which is registered to the other of said first and second images (column 5, lines 1-23, fig.1b, step 44-46); and subtracting the warped image from the other image to generate a subtraction image (column 5, lines 15-25 and step 50, wherein subtraction of warped image and unwarped image is performed).

Kando et la does not expressly disclose producing a second image which is a mirror image of the first image.

However, Yamada et al disclose a computer aided diagnostic system comprising the step of producing a second image, which is mirror image of the first image (column 13, lines 20-30 and fig.32).

Kando et al and Yamada et al are combinable because they are from same field of endeavor of analyzing digital medical images.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the step of producing a mirror image as taught by Yamada et al in the system of Kando et al in order to output computer aided diagnosis data with a high precision by a simple operation having no adverse effect on diagnosis made by doctors.

Art Unit: 2625

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to increase the precision of outputting computer aided diagnosis data.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Yamada et al with Kando et al to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

As to claim 2, Kando et al disclose displaying the subtraction image (fig.2a-2d).

Claims 59-60 are apparatus and computer program claims corresponding to claims 1-2 above and are similarly analyzed and rejected.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 3-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claim 58 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 30-57 are allowed.

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest performing lateral inclination correction on the original image to generate the first image, determining average horizontal locations of the left and right ribcage edges at plural vertical locations; as recited in claim 3; removing from consideration false positive locations having an extracted feature which bears a predetermined relationship with respect to a predetermined threshold; as recited in the claim 9; determining average horizontal locations of the left

Art Unit: 2625

and right ribcage edges at plural vertical locations; and shifting the rotated image to produce a lateral inclination corrected second image with the midline centered in said lateral inclination corrected image; as recited in claim 30.

#### **CONTACT INFORMATION**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barry Choobin whose telephone number is 703-306-5787. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM to 18:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on 703-308-5246. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Barry Choobin, November 30, 2004